3.1 Chronic Absence and Student Demographics
The following section examines the relationship between chronic absence and student demographic characteristics including grade level, gender, household income and race/ethnicity across SCUSD in the 2010-2011 school year.

Chronic Absence Rates are Highest in Kindergarten and 12th Grade
During the 2010-2011 school year chronic absence rates were highest for students in kindergarten (approximately 18% of all kindergarteners) and 12th grade (approximately 20% of all 12th graders) (please see Brief #2 for rates at each grade level). Taking only the 5020 students who were chronically absent in 2010-2011, the greatest numbers were also in kindergarten (14% or 687 students) and 12th grade (11% or 545 students) (See Figure 1 below).

Girls And Boys Are Chronically Absent at Similar Rates
In 2010-2011, the population of 5020 chronic absentees included fairly even numbers of female and male students. Looking at the overall district population, 11.5% of all female students and 11.9% of all male students were chronically absent. Based on this one year of data, in the district as a whole there does not appear to be a strong association between gender and attendance.

Most Chronically Absent Students Live in Low-Income Households, but Most Low-Income Students are not Chronically Absent
Of the 5020 students who are chronically/severely absent, 77.8% receive free or reduced price meals, meaning they live in homes with low household incomes.

Nonetheless, a great majority (86.7%) of students who receive free/reduced price lunch are not chronically absent. Multiple factors alongside household income are likely contributing to chronic absence.

Chronic Absence Rates Vary Across Racial/Ethnic Groups
Out of the 5020 students that were chronically absent in 2010-2011, the largest numbers were Hispanic/Latino, Black/African American and White, respectively (see Figure 3, uses the racial/ethnic categories employed by SCUSD and the state of California).
Comparing the racial and ethnic profile of the 2010-2011 chronically absent student population (Figure 3) with the overall district racial and ethnic profile reveals that Native American, African American, and, to a lesser extent, Latino students are over-represented among chronically absent students. Asian/Asian American and, to a lesser extent, White students were represented at somewhat lower rates than they are in the overall student population.

Another way to look at this pattern is to consider the rates of chronic/severe absence for each racial/ethnic group (see Table 1). Here we see that the three groups experiencing the highest rates of chronic absence are Black/African American, American Indian/Alaskan Native, and Hispanic/Latino.

### Table 1. 2010-2011 Chronic/Severe Absence rates by race/ethnicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>% Chronically/Severely Absent</th>
<th>% NOT Chronically/Severely Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or Alaskan Native</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
<td>80.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>94.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>80.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>87.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>89.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more (bi/multi-racial)</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>88.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
<td>90.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Across all groups at least 80% of students are not chronically absent.

**Youth demographic characteristics do not cause chronic absence**

None of these demographic analyses suggest that students’ demographic backgrounds cause chronic absence. Rather they demonstrate that in the district as a whole specific populations were more or less likely to have been chronically absent in the 2010-2011 school year important background for targeting additional inquiry and support.

### 3.2 Chronic Absence and Special Needs Populations

This section explores the relationship between chronic absence and four special needs designations: English Learners, Students Classified as “Disabled,” Students in Foster Care, and Homeless Students.

**Many Chronically Absent Students Are English Learners But English Learners Are Less Likely To Be Chronically Absent**

Students who have grown up speaking a language other than English at home and require additional support to test as proficient in academic English are designated as “English Learners.” Of the 5020 students who were chronically/severely absent in 2010-2011, approximately 17.5% were English Learners. Efforts to address chronic absence should therefore attend to the language and cultural diversity of students and families.

However, in the district as a whole, English learners are somewhat less likely than their English-speaking peers to be chronically or severely absent. One in four SCUSD students were classified as English Learners in 2010-2011; approximately 8.3% of them were chronically absent, in comparison with 12.8% of students who were not classified as English learners.

**Students with “Disabilities” Are Chronically Absent**

Almost 1 in 5, approximately 8.2%, of SCUSD students are classified as having a “disability.” Of the 5020 students who were chronically/severely absent in 2010-2011, approximately 13.5%, or 680 students, were so classified. Of all students with disabilities, approximately 19.3%—almost one in five—were chronically/severely absent in 2010-2011 in comparison with 11% of their peers, suggesting that in the district as a whole they are more likely to be chronically or severely absent.

**More Than 1 in 5 Students in Foster Care Are Chronically Absent**

In 2010-2011 SCUSD served 344 students enrolled in the foster care system. While they are a small proportion of the student body, special attention to their experience is critical as wards of the state that are often vulnerable to inadequate support and poor educational outcomes.

Approximately 1.5% of all 2010-2011 chronically/severely absent students were enrolled in the foster care system. While youth in foster care make up a relatively small number/per-
The percentage of the district’s chronically absent student population, 21.2% of SCUSD students in foster care— or more than 1 in 5— were chronically or severely absent from school. Another way to understand these students’ experiences is to compare their chronic absence rate with that of students who are not in foster care. In 2010-2011, 11.6% of students not enrolled in foster care were chronically or severely absent, so students in foster care were chronically/severely absent at almost twice the rate of these peers.

**Almost 1 in 3 Homeless Students Are Chronically Absent**

In 2010-2011, the school district identified 1228 students as being homeless. Students are considered homeless when they lack a fixed, permanent, and adequate nighttime residence; this may include living in shelters, transitional housing programs, temporary housing, motel/hotels, cars and travel trailers, the street or other public places, or places not suitable for or normally used as a nighttime residence. Homeless students comprised 7% of the chronically/severely absent student population, or 351 students.

Approximately 29% of students classified as homeless— almost 1 in 3— were chronically or severely absent in comparison with 11.2% of students not classified as homeless. Homeless students were therefore almost three times more likely to be chronically/severely absent than their peers.

**Special Needs and Chronic Absence**

In sum, in 2010-2011 English Learners were somewhat less likely than their English-speaking peers to be chronically absent, although substantial numbers of chronically absent students are English learners. Students designated as disabled, students who have been homeless, and students in the foster care system are much more likely to be chronically absent than their counterparts who do not share these experiences.

None of the analyses presented here prove a causal relationship between these student experiences and attendance patterns. However, this relationship is an important area for further inquiry. In addition, the needs of students enrolled in foster care, designated as “disabled,” identified as “homeless,” and/or learning English require consideration as part of activities to eliminate chronic absence.

**3.3 Chronic Absence and School Transfer**

Of the 2010-2011 chronically absent student population, approximately 25% switched schools one or more times that academic year. Although the great majority of SCUSD students attend the same school over the course of a school year, 3760 students (approximately 8.8% of all students) attended two or more schools during the 2010-2011 academic year. Students who switched schools during the year were chronically absent at almost twice the rate of their peers who did not (18.4% versus 9.5%).

The more often students transferred within the year, the more likely they were to be chronically/severely absent. While approximately 1 in 10, students attending the same school all year were chronically or severely absent, among students who attended two, three, or four or more schools those rates increased as depicted in Figure 4.

**3.4 Chronic Absence and Physical Health**

In comparison with their peers with better attendance, chronically absent students were more likely to score poorly and less likely to score well on a range of physical fitness measures. The results of California’s student physical fitness test, which is administered annually to public school students in 5th, 7th and 9th grade, provide a basis for exploring the relationship between chronic absence and physical health. The test is based on standards representing minimum levels of fitness associated with protection against diseases linked to physical inactivity. Achievement of the fitness standards is based upon scoring in the Healthy Fitness Zone (HFZ) for each of six fitness areas: aerobic capacity, body composition, abdominal strength, trunk extension strength, upper body strength and flexibility. The HFZ reflects minimal levels of satisfactory outcomes, so the goal is for students to achieve the HFZ for all fitness areas. Across the district 5.3% of chronically absent students did not score in the HFZ on any test; conversely, only 12.0% scored in the HFZ for all tests (see Figure 5).

Based on the one year of data, this association between chronic absence and low levels of physical fitness appears at each grade level. This relationship is starkly illustrated.
by Figure 6 charts, which compare for each grade the rates at which chronically absent and non-chronically absent students passed 0 of 6 fitness tests and 6 of 6 fitness tests.

These analyses do not establish a causal relationship between physical fitness and chronic absence. However, they do suggest that initiatives focused on improving students’ physical health and efforts to reduce chronic absence might benefit from coordination and collaboration.

3.5 Chronic Absence and School Suspension

When students are suspended from school the days that they miss are counted as absences, raising the question of whether suspensions— and school discipline policies— affect chronic absence rates.

Chronically Absent Students Are Suspended At Higher Rates

In 2010-2011, approximately 1 in 5 of the district’s 5020 chronically absent students were suspended at least once. Chronically absent students were suspended at higher rates (21.1%) than their non-chronically absent peers (6%). Among all students who were suspended in 2010-2011, students that received greater numbers of suspensions were more likely to be chronically absent (see Figure 7). This pattern was similar when considering the numbers of days that students were suspended (see Figure 8).
Chronic Absence and Suspension
In the majority of cases suspension days did not cause students’ absenteeism to reach the threshold of chronic absence, although chronically absent students do appear to be suspended at higher rates than their peers with better attendance records. A troubling aspect of this pattern is its implication that students suspended at high rates are likely also contending with other factors contributing to their poor school attendance. This suggests the importance of school disciplinary practices that attend to underlying causes of student behaviors.

3.6 Geographic Distribution of Chronic Absence
Efforts to reduce chronic absenteeism and to target resources to the places that most need support require an analysis that illustrates the geographic distribution of chronic absence across the school district. This analysis should highlight both places where there are large numbers of young people and the places where high proportions of students are chronically absent. The following maps depict the geographic distribution of chronic absence based on 2010-2011 student attendance data and residential addresses.

Figure 9 reflects the numbers of chronically absent students living in each census block group where at least 25 young people are enrolled in SCUSD. It is designed to answer questions such as, “where did the greatest numbers of chronically absent students live in 2010-2011?” Locations colored with darker blues were home to the largest numbers of chronically absent students, while locations in lighter blues were home to fewer chronically absent students. Figure 9 shows that while chronically absent students live throughout Sacramento, chronic absence is not evenly distributed across neighborhoods.

While Figure 9 provides important information about where chronically absent students are concentrated, it does not provide information about relative chronic absence rates across the district — that is, the places where an especially high or low proportion of enrolled students are chronically absent. This analysis can help focus attention to possible structural factors that are causing such a high proportion of neighborhood students to be chronically absent. Figure 10 describes how the chronic absence rate of each census block group compare with the overall district average chronic absence rate. Each census block group where at least 25 students are enrolled in SCUSD is included in the analysis.
Figure 10 shows that chronic absence rates also vary across SCUSD. Block groups in yellow have chronic absence rates that approximate the district average. Green block groups’ chronic absence rates are significantly lower than the district average, while orange and red block groups have significantly higher rates of chronic absence compared with the district average. It is important to note that while some places might not be home to very large numbers of chronically absent students, a large proportion of resident students might be chronically absent, suggesting the need for focused action to identify and address the reasons for these patterns. These maps suggest that several neighborhoods are important places to prioritize in pursuing further investigation, collaboration and intervention focused on reducing chronic absence. Analyzing multiple years of data will help determine whether these are sustained patterns.

3.7 School distribution of chronic absence
Identifying schools serving high concentrations of chronically absent students provides important direction for prioritizing investment in unpacking and addressing attendance barriers. This information also provides a basis for further examining whether/how specific school characteristics might be associated with higher rates of chronic absence. Locating schools with relatively low rates of chronic absence that serve similar student populations may help identify strategies that effectively support school attendance. The following maps offer a foundation for these next steps by showing 2010-2011 chronic absence rates across the district for elementary, middle and high schools; please note that these might differ from current chronic absence rates.

In each map schools are depicted with color-coded dots. Dark green indicates the school’s chronic absence rate is less than 5%, light green indicates a rate of 5% to 9.9%, orange is 10%-19.9%, and red indicates 20% or higher. The maps’ backgrounds reflect the percentage of children under 18 years old living in households with incomes below the federal poverty line. The shade distinguishes between places with high rates (dark blue, medium rates (medium blue) and low rates (light blue) of economic poverty.
Figure 11. Elementary/K-8 School Chronic Absence Rates and % Children in Households With Earnings Below the Federal Poverty Line

Figure 12. Middle School Chronic Absence Rates and Percentage of Children in Households With Earnings Below the Federal Poverty Line
Chronic absence is uneven across schools at each grade level

These maps reveal that in 2010-2011, chronic absence was unevenly distributed across schools at each grade level in SCUSD. To some extent this likely reflects the variation in student populations across schools and the associations between population characteristics and chronic absence detailed earlier in this brief. However, it is also possible that chronic absence patterns play out differently at individual school sites in comparison with the district as a whole. Further examination of schools serving similar student populations with different attendance outcomes might reveal varying barriers to attendance, as well as school and classroom practices that can help reduce chronic absence.

Conclusion

This brief has described district-level chronic absence patterns based on 2010-2011 student data. Across the district more than 1 in 10 enrolled students, 5020 young people, were chronically absent or severely chronically absent in the 2010-2011 school year. These rates vary-- and in some cases are much higher, ranging up to 1 in 3 young people--across particular populations, neighborhoods and schools.

This brief has not identified the cause of chronic absence. Doing so would require additional information and different types of data analysis. However, understanding which young people are chronically absent and where they live and attend school provides a foundation for determining barriers to school attendance and either eliminating them or supporting children, youth, families educators and community leaders to collaborate on overcoming them.

Endnotes:

1This classification does not include students coded as “Non-Intense Speech Learning Disabled” and having “Specific Learning Disabilities.” Classification includes students with the following conditions (as per SCUSD codes): Autism, Deaf, Deaf-Blind, Emotionally Disturbed, Hard of Hearing, Mentally Retarded, Multihandicapped, Orthopedically Disabled, Other Health Disabled, Traumatic Brain Injury, Visually Disabled, Established Medical Disability, Speech or Language Impairment, Established Medical Disability. Students with School code = “Home/Hospital” and “John Morse Therapeutic Center” were excluded from this analysis.
2Definition of “homeless” downloaded 8/17/12 from www.scusd.edu/homeless-services
3These results might be skewed by the fact that chronically absent students were less likely to be at school when the test was administered: 74% of chronically absent students took the test in comparison with 89% of their peers who were not chronically absent.
5Census block groups are larger than a census block and smaller than a census tract. They generally contain 600 to 3,000 people, with an optimum size of 1,500 people.(https://www.census.gov/geo/www/geo_defn.html#CensusBlock)
6A standard score, called a z-score, is used to compare each block group’s chronic absence rate to that of the entire district. It is derived by subtracting the district’s mean chronic absence rate from an individual block group’s raw chronic absence rate (calculated as numbers of chronic absentee per 100 students enrolled) and dividing the difference by the population standard deviation. The categories include below -1.5 standard deviations, between -1.5 and -0.5, -0.5 to 0.5, 0.5 to 1.5 and above 1.5.